

# SD-DiT: Unleashing the Power of Self-supervised Discrimination in Diffusion Transformer

Rui Zhu, Yingwei Pan, Yehao Li, Ting Yao, Zhenglong Sun, Tao Mei, Chang Wen Chen



香港中文大學(深圳) The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen





智蒙末来 HiDream.ai

## Diffusion Transformer - Scalable but Slow Convergence





DiT: Scalable Arxiv 2022.12 MDT: Fast Convergence Arxiv 2023.03 MaskDiT: Efficiency Arxiv 2023.06

Peebles, William, and Saining Xie. "Scalable diffusion models with transformers." ICCV 2023.
 Gao, Shanghua, et al. "Masked diffusion transformer is a strong image synthesizer." ICCV 2023.

[3] Zheng, Hongkai, et al. "Fast training of diffusion models with masked transformers." TMLR 2024.

## Why Bring Mask to DiT – Contextual Relation inside View



Mask Generative Diffusion < Reconstruction Loss Loss Decoder Xvisible Visible Learnable features Mask Tokens MDT: Intra-view Self-Reconstruction via mask Encoder brings contextual relation learning  $X_{\sigma}^{\text{visible}}$  $X_{\sigma}^{\text{invisible}}$ MaskDiT: Mask Mask brings training efficiency Noised Image X<sub>a</sub> with only 50% input

[1] Gao, Shanghua, et al. "Masked diffusion transformer is a strong image synthesizer." ICCV 2023.[2] Zheng, Hongkai, et al. "Fast training of diffusion models with masked transformers." TMLR 2024.

### Can we impose Inter-view Discrimination to DiT?



**Representation Learning** 

**Generative Modeling** 

How to construct discriminative view pair for Generative Diffusion Transformer?

Contrastive Self-supervised Learning relies on Data Augmentation for positive pair

[1] https://github.com/google-research/simclr

## Can we impose Inter-view Discrimination to DiT?



#### **Representation Learning**

**Generative Modeling** 



#### $p_{data \odot Aug} \rightarrow p_{data}$



[2] Song, Yang, Dhariwal Prafulla, Chen Mark, Sutskever Ilya. "Consistency models." ICML 2023.



Inspired by Consistency models, whose outputs of the points on the same PF-ODE trajectory are consistent

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma},\sigma) &= \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma'},\sigma'), \quad \sigma,\sigma' \in [\sigma_{\min},\sigma_{\max}].\\ \boldsymbol{f}: (\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma},\sigma) \mapsto \boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma_{\min}} \end{split}$$

We construct discriminative pair by adding noise  $(x_{\sigma_{\rm S}}, x_{\sigma_{\rm T}})$  on the same PF-ODE

 $p_{\sigma_{\rm S}} \rightarrow p_{\sigma_{\rm T}}$ 

## Preliminary



#### **Generative Modeling**



Consistency models, whose outputs of the points on the same PF-ODE trajectory are consistent

$$oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x}_{\sigma},\sigma) = oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x}_{\sigma'},\sigma'), \quad \sigma,\sigma' \in [\sigma_{\min},\sigma_{\max}].$$
  
 $oldsymbol{f}: (oldsymbol{x}_{\sigma},\sigma) \mapsto oldsymbol{x}_{\sigma_{\min}}$ 

We construct discriminative pair by adding noise  $(x_{\sigma_{\rm S}}, x_{\sigma_{\rm T}})$  on the same PF-ODE

$$p_{\sigma_{\rm S}} \rightarrow p_{\sigma_{\rm T}}$$

PF-ODE

$$d\boldsymbol{x}_t = [\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) - \frac{1}{2}g(t)^2 \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \log p_t(\boldsymbol{x}_t)] dt.$$

EDM utilizes  $p_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{x})$  instead of  $p_t(\boldsymbol{x})$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x},t) := \mathbf{0} \text{ and } g(t) := \sqrt{2t}$$

PF-ODE in EDM

$$egin{aligned} dm{x} &= -\sigma 
abla_{m{x}} \log p_{\sigma}(m{x}) d\sigma, & \sigma \in [\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}], \ & p_{\sigma}(m{x}) &= p_{ ext{data}}(m{x}) * \mathcal{N}ig(m{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \ & m{x}_{\sigma} &= m{x}_0 + m{n}, \ m{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(m{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}) \end{aligned}$$

[1] Song, Yang, Dhariwal Prafulla, Chen Mark, Sutskever Ilya. "Consistency models." ICML 2023.

[2] Song, Yang, et al. "Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations." ICLR2021.

[3] Karras, Tero, et al. "Elucidating the design space of diffusion-based generative models." NeurIPS 2022.

### SD-DiT: Discriminative Objective





$$\boldsymbol{x}_{\sigma_{\mathrm{S}}} = \boldsymbol{x}_{0} + \boldsymbol{n}, \ \boldsymbol{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \sigma_{\mathrm{S}}^{2}\mathbf{I}), \ \sigma_{\mathrm{S}} \in [\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}]$$

$$oldsymbol{x}_{\sigma_{\mathrm{T}}} = oldsymbol{x}_{0} + oldsymbol{n}, \ oldsymbol{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0}, \sigma_{\mathrm{min}}^2 \mathbf{I})$$

$$P_{\mathbf{S}_i} = \frac{\exp(j_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{S}_i})/\tau_{\mathbf{S}})[k]}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(j_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{S}_i})/\tau_{\mathbf{S}})[k]},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}(i) = -\sum_{k} P_{\mathrm{T}_{i}} \log(P_{\mathrm{S}_{i}}).$$

Loss on visible tokens and CLS token:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}} = \frac{1}{(1-\mathcal{M})} \sum_{i \in (1-\mathcal{M})} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}(i) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{D}}([\mathtt{CLS}]).$$

### Various Teacher Noise in Discriminative Pair





### Fuzzy relations: Mask Reconstruction vs. Generative Diffusion





Mask reconstruction loss wastes model capacity for representation learning and the learnable mask tokens.

### SD-DiT: Decoupled Encoder-decoder w/o mask tokens





- $\blacktriangleright \quad \text{Decoder for generative loss:} \quad p_{\sigma} \rightarrow p_{data}$
- ▶ Encoder for discriminative loss:  $p_{\sigma} \rightarrow p_{\min}$
- Keep masks for training efficiency and location contextual awareness.
- ▶ Remove the mask reconstruction loss  $p_{\sigma \odot mask} \rightarrow p_{\sigma}$

(which wastes model capacity for representation learning)



Figure 5. FID vs. mask ratio on SD-DiT-S/2 with 400k steps.

### Experiments on ImageNet: Fast Convergence



Method	Training Steps(k)	FID-50K↓	
DiT-S/2 [45]	400	68.40	
MDT-S/2 [19]	400	53.46	
SD-DiT-S/2	400	48.39	
DiT-B/2 [45]	400	43.47	
MDT-B/2 [19]	400	34.33	
SD-DiT-B/2	400	28.62	
DiT-XL/2 [45]	7000	9.62	
MaskDiT-XL/2 [73]	1300	12.15	
MDT-XL/2 [19]	1300	9.60	
SD-DiT-XL/2	1100	9.66	
SD-DiT-XL/2	1300	9.01	

Table 1. Performance comparison with state-of-the-art DiT-based approaches under various model sizes on ImageNet  $256 \times 256$  for class-conditional image generation (batch size: 256).



Figure 4. Comparison of convergence speed with SOTA DiT-based approaches in DiT-XL backbone (batch size: 256). The results of DiT and MaskDiT are directly cited from MaskDiT [81]. Our SD-DiT-XL/2 consistently outperforms DiT-XL/2 and MaskDiT-XL/2 across training steps, leading to better training convergence.

### Experiments on ImageNet: Compare with SOTAs



Method	Cost(Iter×BS)	FID↓	sFID↓	IS↑	Prec.↑	Rec.↑
VQGAN [16]	-	15.78	78.3	-	-	-
BigGAN-deep [5]	-	6.95	7.36	171.4	0.87	0.28
StyleGAN [57]	-	2.30	4.02	265.12	0.78	0.53
I-DDPM [43]	-	12.26	-	-	0.70	0.62
MaskGIT [9]	1387k×256	6.18	-	182.1	0.80	0.51
CDM [29]	-	4.88	-	158.71	-	-
ADM [14]	1980k×256	10.94	6.02	100.98	0.69	0.63
ADM-U [14]		7.49	5.13	127.49	0.72	0.63
LDM-8 [50]	4800k×64	15.51	-	79.03	0.65	0.63
LDM-4 [50]	178k×1200	10.56	-	103.49	0.71	0.62
MaskDiT-XL/2 [73]	2000k×1024	5.69	10.34	177.99	0.74	0.60
DiT-XL/2 [45]	7000k×256	9.62	6.85	121.50	0.67	0.67
MDT-XL/2 [19]	2500k×256	7.41	4.95	121.22	0.72	0.64
SD-DiT-XL/2	2400k×256	7.21	5.17	144.68	0.72	0.61

Table 2. Performance comparison with state-of-the-art methods on ImageNet  $256 \times 256$  for class-conditional image generation. Similar to most DiT-based approaches, here we report the results of our SD-DiT in DiT-XL backbone with 256 batch size, while MaskDiT reports results with the largest batch size (1024).

# Thanks for Listening!





**Rui Zhu** The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen



**Yingwei Pan** HiDream.ai



**Yehao Li** HiDream.ai



**Ting Yao** HiDream.ai



**Zhenglong Sun** The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen



**Tao Mei** HiDream.ai



**Chang Wen Chen** The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

Any question: ruizhu@link.cuhk.edu.cn