

# SI-MIL: Taming Deep MIL for Self-Interpretability in Gigapixel Histopathology

Saarthak Kapse<sup>1</sup>\*, Pushpak Pati<sup>\*</sup>, Srijan Das<sup>2</sup>, Jingwei Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Chao Chen<sup>1</sup>, Maria Vakalopoulou<sup>3</sup>, Joel Saltz<sup>1</sup>, Dimitris Samaras<sup>1</sup>, Rajarsi R. Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Prateek Prasanna<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Stony Brook University, NY, USA, <sup>2</sup>UNC Charlotte, USA, <sup>3</sup>CentraleSupelec, University of Paris-Saclay, France





#### Computational Pathology workflow

Unlike natural images, digitized biopsies of tissue samples (also called whole slide images - WSI) are gigapixel in nature.



### Interpretability in current MIL frameworks

Existing MIL approaches can only provide patch-level interpretability.



#### Motivation



#### Handcrafted Pathology features



Handcrafted feature extraction

- Pathologist-friendly interpretability directly encoded in the feature embedding.
- However handcrafted feature-reliant workflows often perform subpar.

Can we jointly leverage both to provide feature-level interpretability along with high performance?



• For each WSI, patches and its nuclei maps are extracted. This is followed by extracting deep features and handcrafted pathology feature for each patch.



• Conventional MIL branch aggregates the patch-level deep features using attention-based MIL to do WSI-level prediction.



• Patch Attention-Guided Top-K (PAG Top-K) module differentiably selects the top attended K patches by Conventional MIL branch.



• Self-Interpretable branch linearly aggregates the handcrafted features belonging to Top-K patches for WSI-level prediction, while providing feature-wise attention scores.

#### **Quantitative Results**

Novel co-learning of dual branches in SI-MIL mitigates the performanceinterpretability trade-off associated with self-interpretable methods.

SI-MIL (ours)

 $\checkmark$ 

0.941

A I I C

0.968

0.910

Dataset:				AUC			
[	TCGA-Lung (N = 936)			Int.	Lung	BRCA	CRC
_	(LUAD vs. LUSC)		IN ViT-S	X	0.919	0.967	0.898
	TCGA-BRCA (N = 910) (IDC vs. ILC)	RetCCL	X	0.935	0.976	0.891	
			CTransPath	X	0.967	0.974	0.897
	TCGA-CRC (N = 320) (Hypermutated vs. not)		DINO ViT-S	×	0.957	0.974	0.897
			PathFeat	X	0.888	0.950	0.818
			PathFeat w/o $H(\cdot)$	$\checkmark$	0.837	0.914	0.720
			2-stage training	$\checkmark$	0.932	0.924	0.862

#### Automated patch and feature importance report

Unlike other MILs, SI-MIL provides de novo feature-level interpretation grounded on pathological insights.



## Thank you!

Poster discussion 10:30AM - 12 Noon Thu 06/20

Email: saarthak.kapse@stonybrook.edu